

SYSTEMIC RACISM REVIEW COMMITTEE THE CITY AND BOROUGH OF JUNEAU, ALASKA

August 2, 2022 12:00 PM

Zoom Webinar

<https://juneau.zoom.us/j/92303909454> or 1-253-215-8782 Webinar ID: 923 0390 9454

AGENDA

- I. CALL TO ORDER
- II. LAND ACKNOWLEDGEMENT
- III. ROLL CALL
- IV. APPROVAL OF AGENDA
- V. APPROVAL OF MINUTES
- VI. PUBLIC PARTICIPATION
- VII. CONSENT AGENDA

A. Legislation Introduced at August 1, 2022 Regular Assembly Meeting

The following ordinances were up for introduction on the consent agenda at the August 1, 2022 Regular Assembly Meeting. The SRRC checklists associated with the ordinances are in this SRRC packet. Legislation and materials associated with the legislation are located in the Assembly packet (copy/paste link into preferred browser for access to the Assembly agenda page): <https://juneau.org/assembly/assembly-minutes-and-agendas>

Ordinance 2022-41 An Ordinance Authorizing the Manager to Execute a Lease with the Juneau Arts and Humanities Council for Use of the Juneau Arts and Culture Center Building.

Ordinance 2022-06(b)(F) An Ordinance Appropriating \$9,563 to the Manager for the Statter Harbor Phase IIIC Capital Improvement Project; Grant Funding Provided by the Alaska Department of Fish and Game.

Ordinance 2022-06(b)(G) An Ordinance Appropriating \$5,000,000 to the Manager for the North State Office Building Parking Capital Improvement Project; Grant Funding Provided by the Alaska Department of Commerce, Community, and Economic Development.

Ordinance 2022-06(b)(H) An Ordinance Appropriating \$292,000 to the Manager for the Eagle Valley Center Capital Improvement Project; Grant Funding Provided by the Rasmuson Foundation.

Ordinance 2022-06(b)(J) An Ordinance Transferring \$116,600 from the Manager's Office to Engineering and Public Works for Grant Writing and

Consulting.

VIII. ITEMS FOR DISCUSSION

IX. COMMITTEE MEMBER / LIAISON COMMENTS AND QUESTIONS

X. NEXT MEETING DATE

A. August 23, 2022 @ Noon via Zoom Webinar

XI. ADJOURNMENT

ADA accommodations available upon request: Please contact the Clerk's office 36 hours prior to any meeting so arrangements can be made for closed captioning or sign language interpreter services depending on the meeting format. The Clerk's office telephone number is 586-5278, TDD 586-5351, e-mail: city.clerk@juneau.org

Systemic Racism Review Committee Legislation Review Summary

Serial Number/Title: Ordinance 2022-41 An Ordinance Authorizing the Manager to Execute a Lease with the Juneau Arts and Humanities Council (JAHC) for Use of the Juneau Arts and Culture Center Building (JACC).

Introduced:8/1/2022 Public Hearing Date:8/22/2022 SRRC Review Date: 8/2/2022

Presented By: Rorie Wat Drafted By: R. Palmer III

Department/Division: Lands & Resources Lead Staff Contact: Dan Bleidorn

Purpose of Legislation (background/summary of intent):

The legislation will authorize the Manager to Lease the Juneau Arts and Cultures Center to the Juneau Arts and Humanities Council at a rate that is less than fair market value.

Connection to existing legislation:

Resolution 2411 (b) A resolution authorizing the Manager to negotiate and enter into an agreement with the JAHC for the management of the Armory Building

Ord 2009-05 An ordinance authorizing the manager to negotiate and execute a lease with the JAHC for the use of the former Armory Building

Connection to adopted planning documents:

Former lease ordnance

*****BELOW IS FOR SRRC MEMBERS TO COMPLETE*****

Step One: What is the impact of the proposed legislation?

a. Does the proposed legislation negatively impact or unduly advantage a particular racial/ethnic group or otherwise perpetuate systemic racism?
If No, review is completed. If yes, go on to the next question:

| YES | NO |
|-----|----|
| | |

b. Does the legislation work to mitigate and/or eliminate structural racism
If Yes, review is completed. If No, or Undetermined, continue through the remaining steps.

| | |
|--|--|
| | |
|--|--|

Step Two: How does the legislation perpetuate systemic racism?

- a. What are potential unintended consequences?
- b. What benefits may result?
- c. What is the potential long term impact of the proposed legislation?

Details:

d. What quantitative and qualitative evidence of inequality exists?

Details:

e. What steps has the department or legislation sponsor taken to notify those impacted of the proposed changes?
 f. Have key stakeholders who could be potentially impacted by the proposed legislation been engaged?

Details:

g. Has public input been received?
 h. If public comment has been received, what is the substance of that comment?

Details:

Step Three: Who is affected by the Proposed Legislation?

a. Who are the impacted group(s)?

- White Black or African American American Indian or Alaska Native
 Asian Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander Two or more races Other

b. Are there impacts on specific geographic areas?

| Race Considerations - Total Community is 69.7% White Only - 30.3% Minority | | | | | | Economic Considerations | |
|--|---------------|--|---------------|---------------------------|---------------|-----------------------------------|---------|
| Census Tract/Block Groups | Minority Pop. | Census Tract/Block Groups | Minority Pop. | Census Tract/Block Groups | Minority Pop. | Elementary School Boundaries | |
| CT 1: Auke Bay/Out the Road | | CT 3: Mendenhall Valley Airport/ East Valley | | CT 5: Downtown | | Gastineau | Title 1 |
| BG1: Out the road | 11.9% | BG1: N. of Jennifer | 42.5% | BG 1: Highlands | 20.6% | Harborview | Title 1 |
| BG2: Lena area | 15.5% | BG 2: Glacier Valley | 39.8% | BG2: DT/Starr Hill | 24.8% | Glacier Valley | Title 1 |
| BG3: Montanna Creek | 14.5% | BG 3: Airport | 40.8% | BG 3: Flats/Village | 30.8% | Mendenhall River | |
| BG4: Fritz Cove area | 10.1% | BG 4: Radcliffe | 24.6% | | | Riverbend | Title 1 |
| | | | | | | Auke Bay | |
| CT 2: Mendenhall Valley with the Loop | | CT 4: Salmon Creek/Lemon Creek | | CT 5: Douglas Island | | Lower Income Housing Areas | |
| BG1: Mendenhall Taki | 27.8% | BG 1: DZ/Freds | 60.9% | BG 1: North Douglas | 15.9% | Chinook/Coho | |
| BG2: Upper Riverside | 23.1% | BG 2: Davis | 45.0% | BG 2: West Juneau | 28.0% | Cedar Park Area | |
| BG 3: Portage/McGinr | 33.7% | BG 3: Belardi Costco | 63.8% | BG 3: Crow Hill/ DT C | 27.6% | Gruening Park Area | |
| BG 4: Long Run | 19.6% | BG 4: Twin Lakes | 25.9% | | | Switzer Area | |
| BG 5: Glacierwood/Vir | 41.2% | | | | | Kodzhoff Area | |
| | | | | | | Douglas Hwy Corridor | |

c. Is there a benefit to a specific census block district/neighborhood/school zone?
 If Yes, does it come at the detriment of another?

| YES | NO |
|-----|----|
| | |
| | |

Details:

d. Is there a benefit to an individual, group of individuals, or business/organization?
 If yes, does that come at a detriment of others?

| | |
|--|--|
| | |
| | |

Details:

Step Four: What solutions could remedy the legislation’s implications in perpetuating systemic racism? Check all that apply:

| | |
|--|---|
| | Recommend additional public input be gathered (Neighborhood/census block meetings, assembly/ committee meetings) |
| | Recommend that the legislation move forward with accountability measures (sunset provisions, 6 mo./annual review of impacts/implications for system racism.) to monitor impact. |
| | Propose revised language to strengthen the legislation or the legislation or regulations cross-referenced within the proposed legislation. |
| | Recommend the proposed legislation not move forward. |
| | Other: (explain) |

Step Five: Further Feedback to the Assembly on systemic racism implications

The SRRC will forward to the Assembly any additional questions that arose during the legislation review that the committee feels may be important for the Assembly to consider.

If a systemic racism implication is identified, the SRRC will provide a written report to the Assembly that includes consideration of the provisions below:

- What are the indicators and progress benchmarks?
- Program strategies?
- Policy Strategies?
- Partnership Strategies?

Systemic Racism Review Committee Legislation Review Summary

Serial Number/Title: Ordinance 2022-06(b)(F) An Ordinance Appropriating \$9,563 to the Manager for the Statter Harbor Phase IIIC Capital Improvement Project; Grant Funding Provided by the Alaska Department of Fish and Game.

Introduced: 8/1/22 Public Hearing Date: 8/22/22 SRRC Review Date: 8/2/22

Presented By: Manager Drafted By: Finance

Department/Division: Docks & Harbors Lead Staff Contact: Carl Uchtyl

Purpose of Legislation (background/summary of intent):

Docks and Harbors has been awarded an additional \$9,563 in Clean Vessel Act grant funding from the Alaska Department of Fish and Game to construct, manage, and maintain sewage pumpout stations in Statter Harbor. This award increases the grant appropriated under Ordinance 2019-06(AF). A local match requirement of \$3,188 will be provided by previously appropriated funds from the Statter Harbor Phase IIIC CIP (H51-108).

Connection to existing legislation:

This award increases the grant appropriated under Ordinance 2019-06(AF). As a supplemental appropriation, this ordinance amends FY23 CBJ Operating Budget Ordinance 2022-06(b).

Connection to adopted planning documents:

N/A

Step One: What is the impact of the proposed legislation?

- a. Does the proposed legislation negatively impact or unduly advantage a particular racial/ethnic group or otherwise perpetuate systemic racism?

| YES | NO |
|-----|----|
| | |

If No, review is completed. If yes, go on to the next question:

- b. Does the legislation work to mitigate and/or eliminate structural racism
If Yes, review is completed. If No, or Undetermined, continue through the remaining steps.

| | |
|--|--|
| | |
|--|--|

Step Two: How does the legislation perpetuate systemic racism?

- a. What are potential unintended consequences?
- b. What benefits may result?
- c. What is the potential long term impact of the proposed legislation?

Details: Construction, management, and maintenance of sewage pumpout stations reduces the release of sewage into surface waters. Sewage discharges pollute waterways with excess nutrients that wreak havoc on coastal ecosystems by fueling harmful algal blooms that put human health at risk, cause fish kills and smother coral reefs.

d. What quantitative and qualitative evidence of inequality exists?

Details: None.

e. What steps has the department or legislation sponsor taken to notify those impacted of the proposed changes?

f. Have key stakeholders who could be potentially impacted by the proposed legislation been engaged?

Details: The Docks and Harbors Board approved this request at the July 28, 2022 meeting.

g. Has public input been received?

h. If public comment has been received, what is the substance of that comment?

Details: Public comment on this ordinance will be held on August 22, 2022.

Step Three: Who is affected by the Proposed Legislation?

a. Who are the impacted group(s)?

- White Black or African American American Indian or Alaska Native
 Asian Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander Two or more races Other

b. Are there impacts on specific geographic areas?

| Race Considerations - Total Community is 69.7% White Only - 30.3% Minority | | | | | | Economic Considerations | |
|--|---------------|--|---------------|---------------------------|---------------|-----------------------------------|---------|
| Census Tract/Block Groups | Minority Pop. | Census Tract/Block Groups | Minority Pop. | Census Tract/Block Groups | Minority Pop. | Elementary School Boundaries | |
| CT 1: Auke Bay/Out the Road | | CT 3: Mendenhall Valley Airport/ East Valley | | CT 5: Downtown | | Gastineau | Title 1 |
| BG1: Out the road | 11.9% | BG1: N. of Jennifer | 42.5% | BG 1: Highlands | 20.6% | Harborview | Title 1 |
| BG2: Lena area | 15.5% | BG 2: Glacier Valley | 39.8% | BG2: DT/Starr Hill | 24.8% | Glacier Valley | Title 1 |
| BG3: Montana Creek | 14.5% | BG 3: Airport | 40.8% | BG 3: Flats/Village | 30.8% | Mendenhall River | |
| BG4: Fritz Cove area | 10.1% | BG 4: Radcliffe | 24.6% | | | Riverbend | Title 1 |
| | | | | | | Auke Bay | |
| CT 2: Mendenhall Valley with the Loop | | CT 4: Salmon Creek/Lemon Creek | | CT 5: Douglas Island | | Lower Income Housing Areas | |
| BG1: Mendenhall Taki | 27.8% | BG 1: DZ/Freds | 60.9% | BG 1: North Douglas | 15.9% | Chinook/Coho | |
| BG2: Upper Riverside | 23.1% | BG 2: Davis | 45.0% | BG 2: West Juneau | 28.0% | Cedar Park Area | |
| BG 3: Portage/McGinr | 33.7% | BG 3: Belardi Costco | 63.8% | BG 3: Crow Hill/ DT D | 27.6% | Gruening Park Area | |
| BG 4: Long Run | 19.6% | BG 4: Twin Lakes | 25.9% | | | Switzer Area | |
| BG 5: Glacierwood/Vir | 41.2% | | | | | Kodzhoff Area | |
| | | | | | | Douglas Hwy Corridor | |

c. Is there a benefit to a specific census block district/neighborhood/school zone?
 If Yes, does it come at the detriment of another?

| YES | NO |
|-----|----|
| | |
| | |

Details:

d. Is there a benefit to an individual, group of individuals, or business/organization?

| | |
|--|--|
| | |
|--|--|

If yes, does that come at a detriment of others?

| | |
|--|--|
| | |
|--|--|

Details:

Step Four: What solutions could remedy the legislation’s implications in perpetuating systemic racism? Check all that apply:

| | |
|--|---|
| | Recommend additional public input be gathered (Neighborhood/census block meetings, assembly/ committee meetings) |
| | Recommend that the legislation move forward with accountability measures (sunset provisions, 6 mo./annual review of impacts/implications for system racism.) to monitor impact. |
| | Propose revised language to strengthen the legislation or the legislation or regulations cross-referenced within the proposed legislation. |
| | Recommend the proposed legislation not move forward. |
| | Other: (explain) |

Step Five: Further Feedback to the Assembly on systemic racism implications

The SRRRC will forward to the Assembly any additional questions that arose during the legislation review that the committee feels may be important for the Assembly to consider.

If a systemic racism implication is identified, the SRRRC will provide a written report to the Assembly that includes consideration of the provisions below:

- What are the indicators and progress benchmarks?
- Program strategies?
- Policy Strategies?
- Partnership Strategies?

Systemic Racism Review Committee Legislation Review Summary

Serial Number/Title: Ordinance 2022-06(b)(G) An Ordinance Appropriating \$5,000,000 to the Manager for the North State Office Building Parking Capital Improvement Project; Grant Funding Provided by the Alaska Department of Commerce, Community, and Economic Development.

Introduced: 8/1/22 Public Hearing Date: 8/22/22 SRRC Review Date: 8/2/22

Presented By: Manager Drafted By: Finance

Department/Division: Engineering Lead Staff Contact: Katie Koester

Purpose of Legislation (background/summary of intent):

CBJ has been awarded a \$5 million designated legislative grant through the Alaska Department of Commerce, Community, and Economic Development. This grant provides partial funding for the planning, design and construction of additional parking levels above the North State Office Building Parking Garage. Additional project funding will be provided by temporary 1% sales tax, if extended by voters during the October election.

Connection to existing legislation:

As a supplemental appropriation, this ordinance amends FY23 CBJ Operating Budget Ordinance 2022-06(b). Additional funding will be provided for this project from the temporary 1% sales tax, authorized by Ordinance 2022-34 (to be adopted on August 1, 2022), if extended by voters during the October election.

Connection to adopted planning documents:

This project is #10 on CBJ's FY23 Legislative Priority List.

Step One: What is the impact of the proposed legislation?

- | | | | |
|----|---|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| | | YES | NO |
| a. | Does the proposed legislation negatively impact or unduly advantage a particular racial/ethnic group or otherwise perpetuate systemic racism? <i>If No, review is completed. If yes, go on to the next question:</i> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| b. | Does the legislation work to mitigate and/or eliminate structural racism <i>If Yes, review is completed. If No, or Undetermined, continue through the remaining steps.</i> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |

Step Two: How does the legislation perpetuate systemic racism?

- a. What are potential unintended consequences?
- b. What benefits may result?
- c. What is the potential long term impact of the proposed legislation?

Details: Additional levels constructed on top of the existing North State Office Building Parking Garage will provide more parking for the downtown workforce, and free up current surface parking for other purposes.

d. What quantitative and qualitative evidence of inequality exists?

Details: N/A

e. What steps has the department or legislation sponsor taken to notify those impacted of the proposed changes?

f. Have key stakeholders who could be potentially impacted by the proposed legislation been engaged?

Details: The Public Works and Facilities Committee will review this request at the August 8, 2022 meeting. The State of Alaska provided this grant funding and are therefore supportive of the project.

g. Has public input been received?

h. If public comment has been received, what is the substance of that comment?

Details: Public comment for this ordinance will be held on August 22, 2022.

Step Three: Who is affected by the Proposed Legislation?

a. Who are the impacted group(s)?

- White
- Black or African American
- American Indian or Alaska Native
- Asian
- Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander
- Two or more races
- Other

b. Are there impacts on specific geographic areas?

| Race Considerations - Total Community is 69.7% White Only - 30.3% Minority | | | | | | Economic Considerations | |
|--|---------------|--|---------------|---------------------------|---------------|-----------------------------------|---------|
| Census Tract/Block Groups | Minority Pop. | Census Tract/Block Groups | Minority Pop. | Census Tract/Block Groups | Minority Pop. | Elementary School Boundaries | |
| CT 1: Auke Bay/Out the Road | | CT 3: Mendenhall Valley Airport/ East Valley | | CT 5: Downtown | | Gastineau | Title 1 |
| BG1: Out the road | 11.9% | BG1: N. of Jennifer | 42.5% | BG 1: Highlands | 20.6% | Harborview | Title 1 |
| BG2: Lena area | 15.5% | BG 2: Glacier Valley | 39.8% | BG2: DT/Starr Hill | 24.8% | Glacier Valley | Title 1 |
| BG3: Montanna Creek | 14.5% | BG 3: Airport | 40.8% | BG 3: Flats/Village | 30.8% | Mendenhall River | |
| BG4: Fritz Cove area | 10.1% | BG 4: Raddcliffe | 24.6% | | | Riverbend | Title 1 |
| | | | | | | Auke Bay | |
| CT 2: Mendenhall Valley withn the Loop | | CT 4: Salmon Creek/Lemon Creek | | CT 5: Douglas Island | | Lower Income Housing Areas | |
| BG1: Mendenhall Taki | 27.8% | BG 1: DZ/Freds | 60.9% | BG 1: North Douglas | 15.9% | Chinook/Coho | |
| BG2: Upper Riverside | 23.1% | BG 2: Davis | 45.0% | BG 2: West Juneau | 28.0% | Cedar Park Area | |
| BG 3: Portage/McGinr | 33.7% | BG 3: Belardi Costco | 63.8% | BG 3: Crow Hill/ DT D | 27.6% | Gruening Park Area | |
| BG 4: Long Run | 19.6% | BG 4: Twin Lakes | 25.9% | | | Switzer Area | |
| BG 5: Glacierwood/Vir | 41.2% | | | | | Kodzhoff Area | |
| | | | | | | Douglas Hwy Corridor | |

c. Is there a benefit to a specific census block district/neighborhood/school zone?
If Yes, does it come at the detriment of another?

Details:

| | |
|-----|----|
| YES | NO |
| | |

- d. Is there a benefit to an individual, group of individuals, or business/organization?
 If yes, does that come at a detriment of others?

| | |
|--|--|
| | |
| | |

Details:

Step Four: What solutions could remedy the legislation’s implications in perpetuating systemic racism? Check all that apply:

| | |
|--|---|
| | Recommend additional public input be gathered (Neighborhood/census block meetings, assembly/ committee meetings) |
| | Recommend that the legislation move forward with accountability measures (sunset provisions, 6 mo./annual review of impacts/implications for system racism.) to monitor impact. |
| | Propose revised language to strengthen the legislation or the legislation or regulations cross-referenced within the proposed legislation. |
| | Recommend the proposed legislation not move forward. |
| | Other: (explain) |

Step Five: Further Feedback to the Assembly on systemic racism implications

The SRRC will forward to the Assembly any additional questions that arose during the legislation review that the committee feels may be important for the Assembly to consider.

If a systemic racism implication is identified, the SRRC will provide a written report to the Assembly that includes consideration of the provisions below:

- What are the indicators and progress benchmarks?
- Program strategies?
- Policy Strategies?
- Partnership Strategies?

Systemic Racism Review Committee Legislation Review Summary

Serial Number/Title: Ordinance 2022-06(b)(H) An Ordinance Appropriating \$292,000 to the Manager for the Eagle Valley Center Capital Improvement Project; Grant Funding Provided by the Rasmuson Foundation.

Introduced: 8/1/22 Public Hearing Date: 8/22/22 SRRC Review Date: 8/2/22

Presented By: Manager Drafted By: Finance

Department/Division: Parks & Rec Lead Staff Contact: Michele Elfers

Purpose of Legislation (background/summary of intent):

The Rasmuson Foundation has awarded CBJ a \$292,000 grant to renovate the Eagle Valley Center. This grant funding will supplement the 2020 voter approved general obligation bond funding for energy efficiency improvements. With the grant funding, the project work will include a new more efficient exterior building shell, new windows and doors, electric boiler and hot water system, electrical upgrades, a potable water filtration system from a well, rot removal, and site drainage improvements.

Connection to existing legislation:

As a supplemental appropriation, this ordinance amends FY23 CBJ Operating Budget Ordinance 2022-06(b). This appropriation supplements the funding from the 2020 voter approved general obligation bond that was appropriated under Ordinance 2020-09(AT).

Connection to adopted planning documents:

N/A

Step One: What is the impact of the proposed legislation?

a. Does the proposed legislation negatively impact or unduly advantage a particular racial/ethnic group or otherwise perpetuate systemic racism?
If No, review is completed. If yes, go on to the next question:

| YES | NO |
|-----|----|
| | |

b. Does the legislation work to mitigate and/or eliminate structural racism
If Yes, review is completed. If No, or Undetermined, continue through the remaining steps.

| | |
|--|--|
| | |
|--|--|

Step Two: How does the legislation perpetuate systemic racism?

- a. What are potential unintended consequences?
- b. What benefits may result?
- c. What is the potential long term impact of the proposed legislation?

Details: This grant funding will improve the energy efficiency of the Eagle Valley Center, improve the drinking water system, and address site damage. These improvements will benefit visitors of the center and encourage additional usage of the facility and interaction with the outdoors.

d. What quantitative and qualitative evidence of inequality exists?

Details: N/A

e. What steps has the department or legislation sponsor taken to notify those impacted of the proposed changes?

f. Have key stakeholders who could be potentially impacted by the proposed legislation been engaged?

Details: The Public Works and Facilities Committee will review this request at the August 8, 2022 meeting.

g. Has public input been received?

h. If public comment has been received, what is the substance of that comment?

Details: Public comment on this ordinance will be held on August 22, 2022.

Step Three: Who is affected by the Proposed Legislation?

a. Who are the impacted group(s)?

- White Black or African American American Indian or Alaska Native
 Asian Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander Two or more races Other

b. Are there impacts on specific geographic areas?

| Race Considerations - Total Community is 69.7% White Only - 30.3% Minority | | | | | | Economic Considerations | |
|--|---------------|--|---------------|---------------------------|---------------|-----------------------------------|---------|
| Census Tract/Block Groups | Minority Pop. | Census Tract/Block Groups | Minority Pop. | Census Tract/Block Groups | Minority Pop. | Elementary School Boundaries | |
| CT 1: Auke Bay/Out the Road | | CT 3: Mendenhall Valley Airport/ East Valley | | CT 5: Downtown | | Gastineau | Title 1 |
| BG1: Out the road | 11.9% | BG1: N. of Jennifer | 42.5% | BG 1: Highlands | 20.6% | Harborview | Title 1 |
| BG2: Lena area | 15.5% | BG 2: Glacier Valley | 39.8% | BG2: DT/Starr Hill | 24.8% | Glacier Valley | Title 1 |
| BG3: Montanna Creek | 14.5% | BG 3: Airport | 40.8% | BG 3: Flats/Village | 30.8% | Mendenhall River | |
| BG4: Fritz Cove area | 10.1% | BG 4: Raddcliffe | 24.6% | | | Riverbend | Title 1 |
| | | | | | | Auke Bay | |
| CT 2: Mendenhall Valley withn the Loop | | CT 4: Salmon Creek/Lemon Creek | | CT 5: Douglas Island | | Lower Income Housing Areas | |
| BG1: Mendenhall Taki | 27.8% | BG 1: DZ/Freds | 60.9% | BG 1: North Douglas | 15.9% | Chinook/Coho | |
| BG2: Upper Riverside | 23.1% | BG 2: Davis | 45.0% | BG 2: West Juneau | 28.0% | Cedar Park Area | |
| BG 3: Portage/McGinr | 33.7% | BG 3: Belardi Costco | 63.8% | BG 3: Crow Hill/ DT D | 27.6% | Gruening Park Area | |
| BG 4: Long Run | 19.6% | BG 4: Twin Lakes | 25.9% | | | Switzer Area | |
| BG 5: Glacierwood/Vir | 41.2% | | | | | Kodzhoff Area | |
| | | | | | | Douglas Hwy Corridor | |

c. Is there a benefit to a specific census block district/neighborhood/school zone?
 If Yes, does it come at the detriment of another?

Details:

| | |
|-----|----|
| YES | NO |
| | |
| | |

- d. Is there a benefit to an individual, group of individuals, or business/organization?
 If yes, does that come at a detriment of others?

| | |
|--|--|
| | |
| | |

Details:

Step Four: What solutions could remedy the legislation’s implications in perpetuating systemic racism? Check all that apply:

| | |
|--|---|
| | Recommend additional public input be gathered (Neighborhood/census block meetings, assembly/ committee meetings) |
| | Recommend that the legislation move forward with accountability measures (sunset provisions, 6 mo./annual review of impacts/implications for system racism.) to monitor impact. |
| | Propose revised language to strengthen the legislation or the legislation or regulations cross-referenced within the proposed legislation. |
| | Recommend the proposed legislation not move forward. |
| | Other: (explain) |

Step Five: Further Feedback to the Assembly on systemic racism implications

The SRRC will forward to the Assembly any additional questions that arose during the legislation review that the committee feels may be important for the Assembly to consider.

If a systemic racism implication is identified, the SRRC will provide a written report to the Assembly that includes consideration of the provisions below:

- What are the indicators and progress benchmarks?
- Program strategies?
- Policy Strategies?
- Partnership Strategies?

Systemic Racism Review Committee Legislation Review Summary

Serial Number/Title: **Ordinance 2022-06(b)(J)** An Ordinance Transferring \$116,600 from the Manager's Office to Engineering and Public Works for Grant Writing and Consulting.

Introduced: 8/1/22 Public Hearing Date: 8/22/22 SRRC Review Date: 8/2/22

Presented By: Manager Drafted By: Finance

Department/Division: Engineering Lead Staff Contact: Katie Koester

Purpose of Legislation (background/summary of intent):

This ordinance would transfer \$116,600 from the Manager’s Office to Engineering and Public Works for grant writing and consulting. The grant writer position was created in FY22 and funded by Ordinance 2021-08(b)(am)(H) in an effort to identify, prioritize, and pursue funding opportunities available to the city from an unprecedented level of federal stimulus infused into the economy. Establishing the grant writer position in Engineering and Public Works facilitates collaboration and partnership between grant writing and likely infrastructure grant recipients within CBJ (such as Transit, Streets, etc.). The grant writer position will be partially funded by CIPs, making available additional funding for grant consulting from Blank Rome, LLP.

Connection to existing legislation:

The original grant writer position was funded by Ordinance 2021-08(b)(am)(H). As a supplemental transfer, this ordinance amends CBJ FY23 Operating Budget Ordinance 2022-06(b).

Connection to adopted planning documents:

N/A

Step One: What is the impact of the proposed legislation?

- | | | | |
|----|---|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| | | YES | NO |
| a. | Does the proposed legislation negatively impact or unduly advantage a particular racial/ethnic group or otherwise perpetuate systemic racism? <i>If No, review is completed. If yes, go on to the next question:</i> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| b. | Does the legislation work to mitigate and/or eliminate structural racism <i>If Yes, review is completed. If No, or Undetermined, continue through the remaining steps.</i> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |

Step Two: How does the legislation perpetuate systemic racism?

- a. What are potential unintended consequences?
- b. What benefits may result?
- c. What is the potential long term impact of the proposed legislation?

Details: Establishing the grant writer position in EPW will improve collaboration and partnership between grant writing and application and the likely infrastructure grant recipients within CBJ. This could lead to more grant funding being awarded to CBJ, leading to infrastructure upgrades and maintenance in the community.

d. What quantitative and qualitative evidence of inequality exists?

Details: N/A

e. What steps has the department or legislation sponsor taken to notify those impacted of the proposed changes?

f. Have key stakeholders who could be potentially impacted by the proposed legislation been engaged?

Details: The Public Works and Facilities Committee will review this request at the August 8, 2022 meeting.

g. Has public input been received?

h. If public comment has been received, what is the substance of that comment?

Details: Public comment on this ordinance will be held on August 22, 2022.

Step Three: Who is affected by the Proposed Legislation?

a. Who are the impacted group(s)?

- White Black or African American American Indian or Alaska Native
 Asian Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander Two or more races Other

b. Are there impacts on specific geographic areas?

| Race Considerations - Total Community is 69.7% White Only - 30.3% Minority | | | | | | Economic Considerations | |
|--|---------------|--|---------------|---------------------------|---------------|-----------------------------------|---------|
| Census Tract/Block Groups | Minority Pop. | Census Tract/Block Groups | Minority Pop. | Census Tract/Block Groups | Minority Pop. | Elementary School Boundaries | |
| CT 1: Auke Bay/Out the Road | | CT 3: Mendenhall Valley Airport/ East Valley | | CT 5: Downtown | | Gastineau | Title 1 |
| BG1: Out the road | 11.9% | BG1: N. of Jennifer | 42.5% | BG 1: Highlands | 20.6% | Harborview | Title 1 |
| BG2: Lena area | 15.5% | BG 2: Glacier Valley | 39.8% | BG2: DT/Starr Hill | 24.8% | Glacier Valley | Title 1 |
| BG3: Montanna Creek | 14.5% | BG 3: Airport | 40.8% | BG 3: Flats/Village | 30.8% | Mendenhall River | |
| BG4: Fritz Cove area | 10.1% | BG 4: Raddliffe | 24.6% | | | Riverbend | Title 1 |
| | | | | | | Auke Bay | |
| CT 2: Mendenhall Valley with the Loop | | CT 4: Salmon Creek/Lemon Creek | | CT 5: Douglas Island | | Lower Income Housing Areas | |
| BG1: Mendenhall Taki | 27.8% | BG 1: DZ/Freds | 60.9% | BG 1: North Douglas | 15.9% | Chinook/Coho | |
| BG2: Upper Riverside | 23.1% | BG 2: Davis | 45.0% | BG 2: West Juneau | 28.0% | Cedar Park Area | |
| BG 3: Portage/McGinr | 33.7% | BG 3: Belardi Costco | 63.8% | BG 3: Crow Hill/ DT C | 27.6% | Gruening Park Area | |
| BG 4: Long Run | 19.6% | BG 4: Twin Lakes | 25.9% | | | Switzer Area | |
| BG 5:Glacierwood/Vir | 41.2% | | | | | Kodzhoff Area | |
| | | | | | | Douglas Hwy Corridor | |

c. Is there a benefit to a specific census block district/neighborhood/school zone?
 If Yes, does it come at the detriment of another?

| YES | NO |
|-----|----|
| | |
| | |

Details:

- d. Is there a benefit to an individual, group of individuals, or business/organization?
 If yes, does that come at a detriment of others?

| | |
|--|--|
| | |
| | |

Details:

Step Four: What solutions could remedy the legislation’s implications in perpetuating systemic racism? Check all that apply:

| | |
|--|---|
| | Recommend additional public input be gathered (Neighborhood/census block meetings, assembly/ committee meetings) |
| | Recommend that the legislation move forward with accountability measures (sunset provisions, 6 mo./annual review of impacts/implications for system racism.) to monitor impact. |
| | Propose revised language to strengthen the legislation or the legislation or regulations cross-referenced within the proposed legislation. |
| | Recommend the proposed legislation not move forward. |
| | Other: (explain) |

Step Five: Further Feedback to the Assembly on systemic racism implications

The SRRC will forward to the Assembly any additional questions that arose during the legislation review that the committee feels may be important for the Assembly to consider.

If a systemic racism implication is identified, the SRRC will provide a written report to the Assembly that includes consideration of the provisions below:

- What are the indicators and progress benchmarks?
- Program strategies?
- Policy Strategies?
- Partnership Strategies?