



**Female inmates at Hiland Mountain Correction Center  
caring for Iditarod dogs that had been dropped during the race.**

**Contact:**

**Carmen Gutierrez  
Deputy Commissioner for Rehabilitation and Reentry  
Alaska Department of Corrections  
550 West 7th Avenue Suite 601  
Anchorage, Alaska 99501**

**Chair, Alaska Prisoner Reentry Task Force**

**Phone: (907) 269-7397**

**Web site: <http://www.correct.state.ak.us/corrections/TskForce/tskforce.jsf>**

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

Executive Summary.....	ES 1
Five-Year Strategic Plan Recommendations (2011-2016).....	ES 4

## Part I

Introduction .....	1
--------------------	---

## Chapter One

<b>Prisoner Rehabilitation and Reentry: Why Should We Care?</b> .....	3
A. The Purpose of Alaska's Five-Year Prisoner Reentry Strategic Plan.....	3
B. Cost-Effective Justice: What's at Stake for Alaska?.....	4
C. The Texas Experience .....	5
D. The Alaska Prisoner Reentry Task Force.....	6
E. Developing Alaska's Five-Year Strategic Reentry Plan.....	7
F. Alaska State Prisoner's Constitutional and Statutory Right to Rehabilitation .....	8
G. Polling Data Shows Strong Public Support for Rehabilitation.....	9

## Chapter Two

<b>The Alaska Department of Corrections' Institutions and Its Prisoners</b> .....	12
A. Twelve Correctional Institutions in a Vast Geographical Area .....	12
B. The Goose Creek Correctional Center to be Opened in 2012.....	13
C. The Challenge of Incarcerating Prisoners Away From Their Communities .....	14
D. The Challenge of Incarcerating Pretrial Defendants .....	14
E. The Challenge of Disproportionate Minority Confinement and Cultural Diversity.....	15
F. The Challenge of Housing Mentally Ill and Addicted Prisoners (Trust Beneficiaries) .....	16
1. Background.....	16
2. Treating the Mentally Ill Inside ADOC Facilities .....	17
G. Historical Correctional Population Growth in Alaska.....	17
H. Projected Alaska Prison Growth.....	20
I. Prisoner Release.....	20
J. Recidivism .....	21
K. The Cost of Incarceration.....	22
L. Summing up: ADOC, past, present and future.....	22

## Chapter Three

<b>An Overview of the ADOC's Efforts to Adhere to Its Constitutional and Statutory Mandate to Provide for Prisoner Rehabilitation</b> .....	24
A. Historical Perspective.....	24
B. Increased Recidivism Leads to New Institutional Approaches.....	24
C. Risk Assessment Guides Planning and Decision-Making .....	27

## Chapter Four

<b>Current ADOC Prisoner Community Based Reentry Efforts</b> .....	30
A. The Division of Probation and Parole.....	30
1. Parole .....	31
2. Probation.....	32
B. Community-Based Substance Abuse and Mental Health Treatment .....	33
1. ADOC Community Mental Health Reentry Programs.....	34
a. Institutional Discharge Project Plus (IDP+) .....	34
b. Assess, Plan, Identify and Coordinate (APIC).....	36
c. DOC Discharge Incentive Grant for Housing and Related Supports.....	37
2. Community-Based Substance Treatment .....	37
3. Substance Abuse: PACE – Probationer Accountability with Certain Enforcement.....	38
4. Community Residential Centers .....	39
5. Electronic Monitoring .....	39
C. In Summary.....	40

<b>Chapter Five</b>	
<b>The ADOC's Collaborative Partners in Successful Prisoner Reentry</b>	41
A. Introduction	41
B. Community-Based Reentry Efforts	43
1. The Alaska Mental Health Trust Authority (The Trust)	43
2. The Alaska Court System and the State Division of Behavioral Health	44
3. Akeela, Inc.	45
4. The Alaska Native Justice Center	46
5. Nine Star Education and Employment Services	46
6. The Alaska Coalition for Prisoner Reentry	47
7. Partners for Progress	47
8. Chanlyut	47
9. Alaska Housing Finance Corporation's Tenant Based Rental Assistance Program	48
10. United Way's Alaska 211 system	49
C. Summary	49
<b>PART II</b>	
<b>Alaska's Five-Year Strategic Prisoner Reentry Plan</b>	50
<b>Introduction</b>	50
<b>Chapter Six</b>	
<b>Reentry Data and Evaluation - Plan for Improvement</b>	52
A. The Goal	52
B. The Baseline	52
C. Alaska's Criminal Justice Data Collections	53
1. Department of Public Safety	53
2. The Alaska Court System	55
3. The Alaska Department of Corrections	55
4. The Alaska Division of Juvenile Justice - Overview	56
D. Analysis of present state of data	60
E. Plan for improving data collection and dissemination	63
<b>Chapter Seven</b>	
<b>Housing Newly Released Prisoners</b>	64
A. The Goal	64
B. The Baseline	64
C. The Back-Story – How did we get here?	65
D. What we know that works to turn the curve	67
E. Strategies to Turn the Curve on Increasing Housing Options for People Leaving State Custody	68
F. Performance Measures	71
G. Partners Required to Turn the Curve	71
<b>Chapter Eight</b>	
<b>Post-Release Employment</b>	72
A. The Goal	72
B. The Challenges	72
C. Strategies to Improve Former Prisoners' Access to Employment	72
D. The Partners Necessary to Turn Improve Employment Prospects for Newly Released Prisoners	75
<b>Chapter Nine</b>	
<b>Addressing the Behavioral Health Needs for Returning Prisoners</b>	76
A. The Goal	76
B. The Baseline	76
C. The Back-Story – How did we get here?	77
D. What works to turn the curve	79
E. Strategies to Turn the Curve	79

F. Performance Measures .....	81
G. Partners Required to Turn the Curve.....	81
<b>Chapter Ten</b>	
<b>The Misdemeanor Population</b> .....	82
A. The Goal .....	82
B. The Baseline .....	82
C. The Back-Story – How did we get here? .....	83
D. What we know that works to turn the curve .....	84
E. Strategies to turn the Curve.....	86
F. Partners Required to Turn the Curve .....	88
<b>Chapter Eleven</b>	
<b>Alaska’s Sex Offender Population</b> .....	90
A. The Goal .....	90
B. Baseline .....	90
C. The Back-Story – How did we get here? .....	91
D. What we know that works to turn the curve .....	92
E. Strategies to Turn the Curve.....	95
<b>Chapter Twelve</b>	
<b>Collateral Consequences of Criminal Conviction</b> .....	96
A. The Goal .....	96
B. The Baseline .....	96
C. The Back-Story – How did we get here? .....	97
D. What do we know about what would turn the curve? .....	101
E. How do we propose to turn the curve? .....	107
F. Partners Necessary to Turn the Curve .....	109
<b>Chapter Thirteen</b>	
<b>Faith-Based Prison and Reentry Support</b> .....	110
A. The Goal .....	110
B. The Baseline .....	111
C. The Back-Story: Alaska’s support of faith-based efforts in prison and upon Reentry.....	112
D. What would turn the curve so that the state increases its recognition of the value of chaplaincy and religious programming in its prisons and reentry programming? .....	116
E. What do we propose to do to turn the curve? .....	118
F. Partners to Turn the Curve .....	118
<b>Appendices</b>	
A. CJWG members .....	A-1
B. Reentry Task Force Charter .....	B-1
C. Task Force membership list .....	C-1
D. ADOC rehabilitation programs and educational/vocational programs.....	D-1
E. Alaska’s Therapeutic Courts.....	E-1

## Executive Summary

Alaska's Five-Year Prisoner Reentry Strategic Plan, 2011-2016, (5-Year Plan) sets forth a plan for new and more effective strategies to reduce recidivism and make our communities safer. The first of its kind, this 5-Year Plan is the culmination of the work undertaken by the Alaska Prisoner Reentry Task Force, created by the Criminal Justice Work Group in February 2010 and endorsed by Governor Sean Parnell. It sets forth a seamless set of best practices aimed at reducing the number of adult offenders who return to custody, whether for a new crime or for a violation of probation or parole.

It was prompted in large measure by unsettling criminal justice data: 95 percent of prisoners are eventually released from prison in Alaska; more than 289 convicted felons were released into Alaska's communities each month in 2009 and subsequently, two out of three prisoners returned to custody within three years of their release. Alaska's recidivism rate is far too high, both in terms of the human and financial costs. It further reflects that Alaskans' criminal justice dollars could be better spent.

Over the last decade, Alaska has experienced rapid growth in its prison population. Until quite recently, Alaska's prison growth tracked with other states. By 2009, however, other states had begun to examine what was driving this growth and had begun to adopt new policies and practices that were more cost-effective and produced better outcomes. In 2009, for the first time in 38 years, the U.S. prison population contracted rather than grew; 26 states *reduced* their prison populations. Alaska was not among them. Instead, it was one of eight states with the highest increase in the rate of growth. Alaska has the 11<sup>th</sup> fastest growing prison population in the United States. Since 2005, Alaska's prison population has grown by approximately 200 inmates per year. From 1982 through 2007, Alaska has experienced a 152 percent increase in its prison population. In 2009, 1 out of 36 Alaskans was under the jurisdiction of the Alaska Department of Corrections (ADOC), up from 1 out of 90 in 1982.

Incarceration is expensive. As of January 2011, it costs the state \$49,800 per year (or \$136.00 per day) to incarcerate one prisoner. In the Spring of 2012, the new Goose Creek Correctional Center will open with 1,536 beds. This prison is costing the state approximately \$250 million to build and will cost approximately \$50 million per year to operate. If Alaska fails to change its current criminal justice practices, given its current rate of prison growth, the state will be required to build new prisons at ever increasing costs both to construct and operate.

This 5-Year Plan identifies the strategies currently in place to help former prisoners successfully integrate back into their communities. The most successful efforts

currently in place, although with very limited capacity, work with the mentally ill leaving prison. Today, the ADOC also provides substance abuse treatment to approximately 1000 prisoners per year of the 5600 who are currently incarcerated. ADOC is working to expand its educational and vocational education programs making them available to an increasing number of prisoners. It recently developed a reentry program for prisoners with one year or less to serve, with the goal of encouraging them to start thinking about safe housing, employment and continued community support for their behavioral health needs.

The reality, however, is much more needs to be done. In Alaska there is a paucity of affordable housing and when such housing is available, individuals with criminal convictions are not eligible. Additionally, many felons are precluded from employment by virtue of statutes, regulation and policies that make it impossible for people with felony convictions to work. The extent of these barriers to employment is unknown at this time without a full inventory being conducted.

Alaska currently does not have the capacity to provide substance abuse treatment to the many Alaskans who require such treatment both within and without the criminal justice system. This is because there is both insufficient funding for these programs throughout the state and insufficient trained and qualified providers. The faith-based mentor programs would benefit from additional state support. Citizens from the faith community provide much of the mentorship required to help newly released prisoners turn away from the negative influences that lead back to prison. Without the stabilization that comes from access to housing, employment, sober/mental health and positive peer supports, individuals do what they do best -- revert back to old patterns.

Too many individuals charged with misdemeanor crimes cycle in and out jail and prison. When underlying problems are left unaddressed, the criminal behavior can escalate from petty offenses to felony offenses. Many of these individuals have behavioral health needs that are not being addressed under our current approach. The state should consider new approaches that divert non-violent offenders from jail and prison to, where appropriate, making treatment as much a focus as punishment.

The courts and the ADOC have determined that the containment model for managing sex offenders is appropriate in virtually every sex offender crime. That being the case, and assuming this model does indeed reduce recidivism, more certified state providers are required to manage this population both in the prisons and in Alaska's communities. Far too many sex offenders upon release from custody are on a long waiting list for this treatment. Furthermore, these offenders have the most difficult time finding housing and employment. The result is that sex offenders end up in homeless shelters or camps making it very difficult for them to comply with state registration laws and making it difficult for probation and parole officers to supervise them in the community.

Recent national public polling clearly demonstrates the public's willingness to entertain new approaches that address the underlying causes of crime to reduce the

rate of incarceration and lower recidivism. The public's embrace of rehabilitation and successful reentry has helped the efforts of policymakers, even in "tough on crime" states such as Texas. These states are beginning to move away from a strict focus on incarceration and toward alternatives that will actually reduce crime and recidivism and promote successful offender reintegration .

Alaska has the capacity to turn the curve and reduce its rate of prison growth and recidivism by exploring alternatives to prison for individuals who have committed non-violent offenses primarily because of substance abuse and/or mental health issues. There are less expensive means to reaffirm societal norms and show community condemnation than prison sentences that cost the state \$136.00 per day or \$49,800 per year per prisoner.

Alaska must first identify the factors that have contributed to its rapid rate of prison growth. Once those factors have been identified, policymakers should then identify proven best practices approaches to address those factors in a more cost-effective manner that does not compromise public safety. As shown in other states, such an approach is Alaska's best chance for reducing its prison rate growth. At the same time, the ADOC should continue to expand its substance abuse, educational and vocational education programs with the goal of changing the hearts and minds of those incarcerated in its institutions. With this tandem approach, the state has the best chance to improve public safety, create healthier communities and divert criminal justice dollars to more proactive statewide endeavors.

Alaska's commitment to addressing the challenges presented by its prison growth and high recidivism rate is evidenced by the significant collaborative efforts that have gone into developing policy and practice solutions to criminal justice issues in Alaska. It is beyond the mandate of the ADOC to provide housing, employment, sober/mental health and positive peer supports to newly released prisoners. With the ADOC's decision to implement rehabilitative programming in its institutions and its commitment along with many other state and local agencies, tribal organizations, non-profits and concerned citizens to work collaboratively to improve prisoner reentry outcomes, Alaska is demonstrating its commitment to reduce recidivism and thereby improve public safety and the health of Alaska's communities.

## **Five-Year Strategic Plan Recommendations (2011-2016)**

### **1. Continue the collaborative process.**

State and local agencies, non-profits, local partners and concerned citizens are involved in a number of collaborative processes that address the shared goal of reducing criminal recidivism. These efforts should be encouraged by the Executive Branch, Legislature, Courts and other policymakers whenever possible. Collaboration increases accountability and the ability of state and local governments and community organizations to deploy resources effectively on the same population.

As part of this continued collaborative process, an existing workgroup should be charged with ongoing tracking and identification of the specific factors contributing to recidivism, and Alaska's rapid prison population growth. Without identification of these factors, policymakers will be less successful in selecting the best practices to reduce recidivism and slow Alaska's prison growth.

### **2. Expand the ADOC's institutional substance abuse treatment programs.**

The ADOC currently operates the Residential Substance Abuse Treatment (RSAT) and Living Substance Success Substance Abuse Treatment (LSSAT) substance abuse treatment programs in its institutions. These programs have the capacity to provide treatment to approximately 1,000 prisoners per year of the more than 5,600 prisoners incarcerated. The ADOC is in the process of evaluating these programs. Those programs, or program elements, shown to be effective should be expanded. Those shown to be less effective should be modified to increase effectiveness or replaced with more promising programming.

### **3. Expand Probationer Accountability with Certain Enforcement (PACE).**

Implemented by a collaborative team, (probation, courts, law, defense and local police and state troopers), the Anchorage PACE pilot project has demonstrated sufficient success to warrant expansion to other judicial districts where core team members are committed to following the model with fidelity, and are able to implement an initial pilot project without additional state resources. Further, this model should be implemented with parolees immediately upon their release from custody.

### **4. Expand the Electronic Monitoring Program (EMP) in the Mat-Su Valley.**

Operating in seven communities statewide, the EMP has proven to be a cost-effective system of monitoring offenders in the community without



compromising public safety. Information on EMP participant outcomes in Alaska indicates significant reductions in recidivism, as well as immediate savings in incarceration costs.

The Mat-Su Valley EMP cannot be expanded beyond its current capacity without additional state resources: one probation officer, a criminal justice technician and other infrastructure supports. With this expansion, the Mat-Su Valley could accommodate 60 more qualified offenders who would otherwise occupy a prison bed and not be supporting themselves and their families.

**5. Improve the state's ability to collect, analyze and disseminate criminal justice data.**

- a) Alaska should continue to work with the National Governors' Association to obtain technical assistance to help the state to identify and fill in gaps in its data collection systems and improve training and supervision of state employees responsible for data collection, entry and analysis.
- b) The ADOC should seek technical assistance to improve its ability to collect and report on a more comprehensive set of data elements.
- c) The state should investigate establishing an entity that would aggregate criminal justice data across agency lines. This entity would be responsible for producing reports that would provide the context and foundation for policy decisions throughout the state.

**6. Improve former prisoners' access to affordable housing.**

- a) Address the blanket presumption of guilt often used by public and private landlords to automatically preclude individuals with criminal records from being considered as tenants.
- b) As suggested by AHFC CEO/Executive Director Dan Fauske at the last Alaska Council on the Homeless meeting (12/1/10), convene a high level workgroup with a member from AHFC, The Trust, the ADOC, DHSS, and real estate owners and developers to discuss how Alaska may increase the statewide stock of available and affordable housing.
- c) Improve housing information available in the state's 211 system.
- d) Increase the use of subsidized housing programs, recognizing that these programs cost substantially less than incarcerating a recidivist at \$136.00 per day or \$49,800 per year.

**7. Promote, where appropriate, the employment of newly released prisoners and facilitate the creation of job opportunities that will benefit communities.**

- a) Better educate employers about financial incentives for hiring felons such as the Federal Bonding Program and Work Opportunity Tax Credit program.
- b) Determine which industries and employers are willing to hire people with criminal records and encourage job development and placement in those sectors.
- c) Use probation and parole officer or third-party intermediaries to assist employers with the supervision and management of employees.
- d) The ADOC should ensure that its institutional educational and training programs are consistent with those offered by state Job Centers.
- e) The ADOC and the Department of Labor and Workforce Development should work together to provide apprenticeship programs both within and without ADOC institutions.

**8. Improve the ADOC's ability to identify and provide for the behavioral health needs of its inmates.**

- a) Implement a statewide, on-line health record database system that is standardized and would allow entry of specific types of information pertaining to an offender's health, mental health, and substance abuse screening and treatment.
- b) Assess the ability for the ADOC and DHSS to electronically share specifically identified and pertinent information from individual databases (i.e. AK AIMS)
- c) Increase the staff capacity of ADOC to manage the APIC and IDP<sup>+</sup> programs for offenders reentering Alaskan communities.
- d) Work with APIC community providers to enhance their workforce and program capacity to treat and support offenders reentering communities (i.e. peer supports/mentoring).

**9. Reduce the number of misdemeanor offenders cycling in and out of jails.**

- a) Identify the laws, rules, policies and practices that lead to the incarceration of individuals who pose no substantial risk to public safety.
- b) Expand prosecutorial diversion programs for misdemeanor offenses.
- c) Expand the ADOC Electronic Monitoring Program for misdemeanants.
- d) Make good use of halfway house stays by assessing sentenced misdemeanants for behavioral health and criminogenic risks and needs.

- e) Make good use of halfway house placements by screening sentenced misdemeanants for behavioral health and criminogenic risks and needs and assessing and referring for services as appropriate.
- f) Expand therapeutic courts and other problem-solving courts for misdemeanants such as the Mental Health and Addiction Therapeutic Courts, Operating Without License (OWL) Courts, and Anchorage adjudication/disposition courts.

**10. Expand Treatment Services and Housing Options for Sex Offenders.**

- a) Determine the effectiveness of the sex offender treatment programs offered by the ADOC with appropriate performance measures.
- b) Upon substantiation of their effectiveness, increase the ADOC institutional sex offender treatment program capacity.
- c) Increase the number of state approved community sex offender treatment providers.
- d) Create a sex offender treatment program for women.
- e) Expand the Y-K Delta sex offender treatment model to other communities that need and will embrace the program.
- f) Remove counterproductive residential restrictions on housing.

**11. By order of the Governor, require all state agencies to:**

- a) Inventory state employment restrictions related to criminal offenders. Consolidate this information in a unified document specifying restricted occupations and the substance and nature of the restrictions making relevant information readily accessible to the public.
- b) Analyze the necessity of these restrictions to public safety, identify possible mechanisms to provide relief from the restrictions (time limitations/waivers), and amend and simplify as appropriate.
- c) Compile baseline data on:
  - i. The number of people affected by restrictions,
  - ii. The number of jobs that are restricted,
  - iii. The impact of relief mechanisms.

**12. Expand state support for the ADOC chaplaincy program.**

- a) Expand the mentoring program including the number of volunteer-mentors, recognize the need for better screening, training and supervision of mentors.
- b) Support the efforts of the State Chaplain and Alaska Correctional Ministries (ACM) to develop the Healing Communities model in Alaska.

Building this model will bring more support and mentors to those in prison and coming home.

- c) Support the hiring of state-paid chaplains for the prisons. The volunteer chaplaincy efforts are laudable, but the role is too important and carrying too many responsibilities to be left to under-paid staff hired by ACM and volunteers.
- d) Support the continued expansion of programs such as prison Transformational Living Communities and the transitional community residences.

## **Part I**

### **Introduction**

The 5-Year Plan of the Alaska Prisoner Reentry Task Force focuses on the goal of reducing recidivism. For each prisoner who successfully returns home, fewer Alaskans are victimized, the former prisoner becomes a productive citizen and healthier families and communities result. Equally important, this individual is not occupying an expensive prison bed.

The plan is designed to provide policymakers, people working in the criminal justice system and interested citizens with a single resource that provides a baseline overview of the ADOC's current outcomes and the ADOC's new framework intended to promote successful prisoner reentry. It also describes ADOC's institutional and community based rehabilitative programs and the collaborative work it is performing with its state and community partners to improve prisoner reentry outcomes.

Part I of the 5-Year Plan explains the reasons the ADOC and other state and community partners are working to reduce criminal recidivism and what's at stake for Alaska should policy makers choose to ignore this issue. Chapters 1 through 5 discuss the ADOC's constitutional and statutory role in the state's criminal justice system, the rate of Alaskan prison growth, and the impact prison growth has had on our families, communities and the state at large. Basic information about the ADOC operations and its plan for inmate management, reentry and community transition is also included as is the work of the many entities seeking the same improved prisoner reentry outcomes. As a whole, Part I describes the ADOC, the other stakeholders in reentry efforts and in the context of current prisoner reentry efforts, lays the foundation for the 5-Year Plan described in Part II.

Part II discusses some of the most pressing hurdles facing prisoners upon reentry: housing, employment and access to behavioral health services. Chapters 7 through 9 discuss each of these issues and each chapter outlines a goal, the history of the problem and the specific best practice strategies for achieving the stated goal. Chapter 10 discusses the rehabilitation and reentry challenges imposed by the large number of misdemeanor offenders cycling in and out of Alaska's prisons. Solutions are proposed on how to intervene with this offender population. Chapter 11 discusses the challenges facing sex offenders in obtaining court ordered treatment, the need to expand the availability of treatment and how the lack of stable housing impacts the ability of probation officers to supervise these offenders. Chapter 12 discusses the collateral consequences of criminal convictions. There are numerous state and local laws, regulations, policies and practices that make it all but impossible for many people with criminal convictions to find a living wage job, housing and obtain safety-net benefits. Lastly, Chapter 13 discusses the important work being done by our faith-

based communities both within and without the state prison system and the developing evidence that shows these efforts result in improved reentry outcomes.

This 5-Year Plan does not contemplate handouts for those who choose not to abide by State law, but rather supports policy decisions that offer a hand-up and an opportunity for a second chance. By doing so, the State will improve its prospects for successful prisoner reentry and thereby promote healthier Alaskan families and communities.

This 5-Year Plan is not a static document, but rather one that outlines a set of goals with measureable strategies to be accomplished within the next five years. With the achievement of the goals outlined herein, new goals and strategies will be built on the successes and lessons learned through the implementation of this 5-Year plan.

## Chapter One

### Prisoner Rehabilitation and Reentry: Why Should We Care?

#### A. The Purpose of Alaska's Five-Year Prisoner Reentry Strategic Plan

The ADOC's approach to successful prisoner reentry is not a single program, but rather a philosophy central to its culture and its way of doing business. This approach is aimed at turning former prisoners into productive and law-abiding community members. The strategy is built on documented evidence shown to improve reintegration-related outcomes. It begins upon admission to prison and continues through incarceration, release, community supervision and ultimately the unsupervised and successful reintegration into the community.

The State of Alaska recognizes that the successful reentry of prisoners is a critical component of the State's public safety and corrections mission. Failure—which often means homelessness, unemployment, returning to or falling into addiction, often a new crime and a new victim, and ultimately re-incarceration—results in a costly waste of public resources and diminished public goodwill. The burden of this failure has a significant impact on our State's budget, Alaska communities and those former prisoners and their families struggling to succeed in society.

Incarceration impacts the state's economy in a number of ways: the diversion of state funds from other public projects, the social and financial costs to children of incarcerated parents and the impact to the economy when wage earners are no longer financially productive. Recent research shows that the growing number of male offenders convicted of felony crimes has greatly impacted the national GDP (gross domestic product). Using Bureau of Justice Statistics data, researchers estimated that in 2008, the United States had between 12 and 14 million ex-offenders of working age. As will be discussed in Chapter Twelve, because a prison record or felony conviction greatly lowers an ex-offender's prospects in the labor market, researchers estimated that this large population lowered the total male employment rate in 2008 by 1.5 to 1.7 percentage points. In GDP terms, these reductions in employment cost the U.S. economy between \$57 and \$65 billion in lost output.<sup>1</sup> In 2009, the number of Alaskan prisoners, both men and women, between the ages of 20 and 54 was 4,089.<sup>2</sup>

The ADOC cannot by itself fulfill its reentry mission. Acknowledging this, it recognizes and accepts its critical leadership role in improving prisoner reentry outcomes and reducing recidivism. Successful reintegration requires a collaborative strategy developed out of a partnership among the state criminal justice agencies from the

---

<sup>1</sup> Ex-offenders and the Labor Market, John Schmitt and Kris Warner, November 2010. found at: <http://www.cepr.net/documents/publications/ex-offenders-2010-11.pdf>

<sup>2</sup> The ADOC 2009 Offender Profile, <http://www.correct.state.ak.us/corrections/index.jsf>

ADOC, state and local police, courts, prosecutors, defense attorneys, to other state agencies such as the departments of Health and Social Services, Labor, Education, the Alaska Mental Health Trust Authority, the Alaska Housing Finance Corporation, and the Alaska State Legislature. Equally important to this effort is the ability of these state agencies to coordinate with local governments, tribal councils, and community partners such as the Alaska Native Justice Center, Partners for Progress, Akeela, Inc., Nine Star, and United Way to name a few of the many that offer resources and services needed for successful prisoner reentry.

Alaska's commitment to collaboration is evidenced by collaborations already put in place. This plan reflects the coordinated efforts of state and local agencies, community organizations and committed individuals working together toward the common goal of creating a statewide prisoner reentry strategy that addresses Alaska's unique reentry challenges caused by its geographical vastness and cultural diversity.

Alaska is not the first state to develop a coordinated recidivism reduction strategy, nor the first to have its state corrections agency adopt recidivism reduction as part of its mission. Given the country's high rates of recidivism and the ever-growing costs of incarceration, criminal justice policymakers nationwide have embarked on a major reexamination of their criminal justice systems with the goal of improving prisoner reentry outcomes. During the past decade, and in spite of a cynical and unproductive "nothing works" attitude that developed in 1976 by Dr. Martinson,<sup>3</sup> an array of community-based, state, and federal efforts were launched specifically designed to provide effective and innovative responses to the myriad challenges presented by prisoners being released from incarceration. Research related to, and evaluation of these efforts resulted in a much better understanding of what does, and does not work. As a result, today we know far more about effectively preparing prisoners for release. We have new evidence of what works to reduce recidivism, the importance of correctional systems adopting evidence-based practices and an understanding that corrections alone cannot provide the desired results or solve the numerous challenges facing newly released prisoners. It is on this evidence that our strategies are based.

## **B. Cost-Effective Justice: What's at Stake for Alaska?**

Operating a prison system is a costly proposition, not just for Alaska, but for all 50 states and the federal government. The ADOC FY 2011 operating budget is estimated to be \$258 million. Alaska is currently in the midst of constructing a \$250 million, 1536 bed, minimum to medium custody facility with an estimated annual operating budget of \$50 million—offset by the \$20 million currently spent to house 1000 prisoners in

---

<sup>3</sup> The Psychology of Criminal Conduct, D.A. Andrews & James Bonta, The Martinson "Nothing Works" Debate, pgs 319-324 (4<sup>th</sup> Ed. 2006).



Hudson, Colorado— in the Mat-Su Valley. Given the growth in the State's prison population, there is uniform agreement that the state requires this new facility. Proof of the need is housing prisoners in an out-of-state private prison due to insufficient bed space in Alaska. One purpose of this plan is to advance new approaches that, if embraced by the state's policymakers, may avoid the need to construct additional new prisons within the next ten years.

This is an opportune time for Alaska to reevaluate current criminal justice practices to determine if it is receiving good value for the dollar spent. As measured by the state's recidivism rate—two out of three prisoners return to custody within the first three years of their arrest—good value is not being achieved. Thus, the state is now beginning to examine its current practices, learn from what other states have done to achieve more cost-effective results and determine if proven best practices would improve outcomes, reduce recidivism and build strong families and healthier communities.

Other states have performed a cost-benefit analysis of their criminal justice systems and found their citizens were receiving a poor return on the dollar spent. Given the rapidly increasing costs of their prison systems and their high rates of recidivism, these states set out to employ more cost-effective and smarter approaches to criminal justice.<sup>4</sup> Texas is an excellent success story that is instructive on the cost-effective changes that can be made while at the same time improving public safety.

### **C. The Texas Experience**

In 2007, the famously “tough on crime” Texas legislature took dramatic, bipartisan action to control crime and corrections costs. This initiative was led by Republican Jerry Madden who was appointed by the majority leader as Chairman of the Corrections Committee. The then speaker of the house instructed Rep. Madden to develop new approaches to slow Texas’ rapid prison growth. “Don’t build new prisons. They cost too much”.<sup>5</sup> With that directive in mind, Rep. Madden, an engineer, gathered the data and the facts to develop a systematic approach to breaking the cycle of crime.

At the start of 2007, the state's corrections department projected a shortfall of 17,000 prison beds over the next five years and recommended the construction of 4,000 new beds at a cost of more than \$900 million. Texas legislators requested assistance from the Pew Center on the States’ Public Safety Performance Project and its partner, the Council of State Governments Justice Center (CSG), to identify options to avert prison growth while protecting public safety.

---

<sup>4</sup> Among these states are Kansas, Arizona, Alabama, Nebraska, North Carolina, and Texas.

<sup>5</sup> Anchorage Daily News editorial, *Be tough, be smart*, September 19, 2010.

Based on their nonpartisan research and the menu of policy options they prepared, the 2007 legislature approved a plan that provided an historic investment of over \$241 million in treatment and diversion facilities and substance abuse treatment services, both behind prison walls and in community-based programs.<sup>6</sup> With these and other measures, the legislative package successfully averted all of the previously planned prison beds through 2012.<sup>7</sup> While the legislation authorized funding for three of the eight prisons originally requested, the dollars for them may be tapped only if the community corrections plan fails to erase the bed shortfall.

To date, tapping these dollars has not been necessary. According to Rep. Madden, who spoke at the Cost-Effective Justice Forum held in Anchorage in September 2010, the Texas prison population completely leveled off as a result of these initiatives. No shortfall in capacity is predicted until 2013, when the system may need a relatively small number of prison beds compared to the previously predicted need for eight prisons. Moreover, following the adoption of these reforms, Texas' crime rate did not increase, but continued to fall.

#### **D. The Alaska Prisoner Reentry Task Force**

Alaska's commitment to addressing the challenges presented by its prison growth and high recidivism rate is evidenced by the significant collaborative effort that has gone into developing recent policy and practice solutions to criminal justice issues.

In 2007, the legislature funded the Alaska Judicial Council to staff the Criminal Justice Work Group (CJWG) to collaborate on ways to improve Alaska's criminal justice system.<sup>8</sup> The CJWG is currently co-chaired by the Chief Justice of the Alaska Supreme Court and the state's Attorney General. The CJWG membership includes state commissioners from the state Departments of Corrections, Health and Social Services, Public Safety, Education, and the Alaska Mental Health Trust Authority, Alaska Court System and other high level representation from a broad range of state agencies that either directly participate in or are impacted by the state's criminal justice system.<sup>9</sup>

---

<sup>6</sup> The Texas plan focused on five areas: parole, probation, diversion for drunken driving offenders, school programs to cut the prison pipeline for young offenders, and preschool programs that have a proven success record for keeping children in school and away from delinquent behavior.

<sup>7</sup> The Texas "justice reinvestment" approach was a dramatic turn in Texas' criminal justice policies. The state legislature committed to ensuring accountability and the continued success of these new measures. Accordingly, the Texas legislature established the Criminal Justice Legislative Oversight Committee to monitor and evaluate the implementation of the new policies and programs and to evaluate their impact on state prison populations.

<sup>8</sup> The AJC is mandated by the Alaska State Constitution to, among other things, conduct studies for the improvement of the administration of justice and report those findings and recommendations to the Supreme Court and to the legislature at least every two years.

<sup>9</sup> A roster of CJWG members is attached as Appendix A.

The CJWG has two committees, one of which is the Prevention and Recidivism Committee, chaired by the Commissioner of the ADOC. The second is the Efficiencies Committee chair by the Alaska Court System Administrator. The Prevention and Recidivism Committee is focused on identifying and monitoring cost-effective, evidence-based ways to prevent crime and reduce recidivism.

In February 2010, the CJWG with Governor Sean Parnell's approval, created the Alaska Prisoner Reentry Task Force (Task Force). The CJWG recognized that reducing Alaska's rate of recidivism would require the collaborative efforts of a broad range of state, local and community organizations as the challenges facing releasing prisoners were beyond the purview of the ADOC alone.

The mission of the Task Force is to reduce Alaska's recidivism rate and thereby improve public safety and the overall health of Alaska's communities. This will be accomplished by developing a coordinated and seamless set of policies and programming, from admission to prison through release from prison, that support the successful reintegration of prisoners into Alaska's communities.<sup>10</sup>

The Task Force membership includes a broad range of state, local and citizen members who are either stakeholders in developing solutions to reentry challenges or who represent a constituency impacted by the state's criminal justice system. The Task Force members have demonstrated a clear commitment to working collaboratively to reduce Alaska's recidivism rate.<sup>11</sup>

### **E. Developing Alaska's Five-Year Strategic Reentry Plan**

Since February 2010, the Task Force has worked to develop Alaska's 5-Year Plan. The purpose of the 5-Year Plan is to create a system of best practices aimed at reducing the number of adult offenders who return to custody. The task force identified eight key strategies for achieving this result:

1. Organizational/Cultural Change: Create an ADOC organizational and cultural environment that supports risk reduction and reentry work with offenders.
2. Employment: Increase the ability of former prisoners to obtain and sustain employment.
3. Housing: Increase the ability of former prisoners to be safely housed upon release.

---

<sup>10</sup> The Task Force Charter is attached as Appendix B.

<sup>11</sup> A list of Task Force members is attached as Appendix C.

4. Substance Abuse & Mental Health services: Increase the identification of those who need substance abuse treatment or other behavioral supportive services and improve access thereto.
5. Collateral consequences: Ensure that laws, regulations, policies and practices are rationally related to public safety and do not unduly hinder the successful reintegration and opportunities of people with criminal histories.
6. Community Corrections: Continue to enlist and engage the participation of other state agencies and stakeholders in the risk reduction and reentry plan.
7. Faith-Based Programs: Expand faith-based programs inside ADOC institutions and in the community.
8. Data and Evaluation: Develop a comprehensive system for the collection and evaluation of Alaska criminal justice data that will permit ongoing monitoring and evaluation of the risk reduction and reentry initiatives.

The ADOC, along with its state and community partners, is committed, through the adoption of cost-effective, evidence-based measures, to embrace its constitutional and statutory mandate to protect public safety and provide reformative programs to Alaska's prisoners.

#### **F. Alaska State Prisoner's Constitutional and Statutory Right to Rehabilitation**

Article I, Section 12 of the Alaska State Constitution provides: "Criminal administration shall be based upon the following: the need for protecting the public, community condemnation of the offender, the rights of victims of crime, restitution from the offender, and *the principle of reformation.*"

The Alaska Supreme Court has interpreted "the principle of reformation" to mean that state prisoners in Alaska have a constitutional right to rehabilitation services. *Rust v. State*, 584 P.2d 38 (Alaska 1978). This right was clarified in the *Abraham v. State*, where court held that the defendant had a constitutional right, while in prison, to rehabilitative treatment for his alcoholism, as such treatment was the key to reforming his criminal behavior. *Abraham v. State*, 585 P.2d 526 (Alaska 1978).

Alaska state statute AS 33.30.011 (3) provides that the commissioner [for the ADOC] shall, for persons committed to his custody, establish programs, . . . that are reasonably calculated to

- (A) protect the public and the victims of crimes committed by prisoners;
  - i. create or improve occupational skills;
  - ii. enhance education qualifications;